

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,161	HALL, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764	

All Participants:

(1) Jerome W. Donnelly

(2) Jason Web

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/14/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: r/A

Claims discussed: 25, 33 and 48

Prior art documents discussed: r/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agrees to elaborate on the second handle and its attachment to the trampoline and to the carrying case

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Claim 48 has been corrected to depend from claim 47.

In view of the claim amendment the double patenting rejection no longer applies.

[Signature] 3/16/06
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)